

Complete sets of commuting observables

Consider an observable  $A$  and a basis of its eigenvectors  $\{|\psi_n\rangle\} \in \mathcal{E}$ . If none of the eigenvalues  $A_n$  is degenerate  $\Rightarrow$  all the eigenspaces  $E_n$  are one-dimensional, i.e. to each eigenvalue corresponds a different eigenvector. In other words, specifying the eigenvalue determines in a unique way the corresponding eigenvector (to within a constant factor). In this case, the observable  $A$  constitutes by itself a complete set of commuting observables, or C.S.C.O.

If, on the other hand, one or several eigenvalues of  $A$  are degenerate  $\Rightarrow$  specifying  $A_n$  is no longer sufficient to characterize a basis vector, since there correspond several independent vectors to degenerate eigenvalues.

Recall Lecture #8, the case with  $L_z^2 = 1$  <sup>(2)</sup>  
<sup>Appendix of</sup> we had to introduce vectors

$|L_z^2 = 1; 1\rangle$ ,  $|L_z^2 = 1; 2\rangle$ , since specifying just  $|L_z^2 = 1\rangle$  was not enough.

Obviously, in this case the basis of eigenvectors of  $A$  is not unique!  $\Leftrightarrow$  one can choose any basis inside each of the eigenspaces  $E_n$  of dimension greater than 1.

Is there any way to find a unique basis in this case? In other words, how can we better define  $|a_n; 1\rangle$ ,  $|a_n; 2\rangle$ , ...?

↑  
vector #1  
in a subspace  
of eigenvectors  
corresponding to the eigenvalue  $a_n$

⇓  
Let's choose another observable  $B$  which commutes with  $A$  and construct an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors common to  $A$  and  $B$ .  
 $A$  &  $B$  form a C.S.C.O. if this basis is unique (to within a phase factor for each of the

basis vectors), i.e. if, to each of the possible pairs of eigenvalues  $\{a_n, b_m\}$ , there corresponds only one basis vector. ③

### Example

Eigenvalues of an observable  $A$  are  $a_1=0$

$$a_{2,3}=1$$

↑  
double-degenerate

Corresponding eigenvectors:

$$|a_1=0\rangle \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$|a_2=1\rangle \equiv |a=1; 1\rangle \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$|a_3=1\rangle \equiv |a=1; 2\rangle \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\{A\}$  is not  
 $\Rightarrow$  a.c.s.c.o.

Basis  $|a=0\rangle, |a=1\rangle$  is not unique  $\Rightarrow$  can introd

$$|a=0\rangle, |a=1; 1\rangle, |a=1; 2\rangle$$

but how do you choose which vector is #1 and which one is #2?  $\Rightarrow$

Consider another observable  $B$ , such that

$$[A, B] = 0$$

Let's say that the eigenvalues of  $B$  are  $b_1=0$   
 $b_2=1, -1$

and the eigenvectors are

$$|b=0\rangle \doteq \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$|b=1\rangle \doteq \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$|b=-1\rangle \doteq \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

{B} is a C.S.C.O.

⇒

Does this set  $|b=0\rangle, |b=1\rangle, |b=-1\rangle$

form a ~~unique basis~~? ⇒ yes! since there are no degenerate eigenvalues

Also it helps specifying which vector is

$|a=1, 1\rangle$  and which one is  $|a=1, 2\rangle$

introduce a notation  $|a=..., b=... \rangle \Rightarrow$

then in our example  $|a=0, b=0\rangle \doteq \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$   
{A, B} is a C.S.C.O. ⇐

now we know  $|a=1, b=1\rangle \doteq \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

exactly what  $|a=1, b=-1\rangle \doteq \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$   
eigenvector is #1 or #2

What if the eigenvalues of B are also degenerate?

then find an observable C,  $[B, C] = 0 \Rightarrow$

construct the basis  $|a=..., b=..., c=... \rangle$

## More examples

(5)

The set of the three operators  $X, Y, Z$  constitutes a C.S.C.O in  $E_{\vec{r}}$ , since the three eigenvalues  $x_0, y_0, z_0$  of  $X, Y, Z$  uniquely determines the corresponding vector  $|\vec{r}_0\rangle$ .

Similarly,  $P_x, P_y, P_z$  constitute a C.S.C.O in  $E_{\vec{r}}$ .

Note: in  $E_{\vec{r}}$ ,  $X$  does not constitute a C.S.C.O by itself, since each eigenvalue  $x_0$  is infinitely degenerate (because for each  $x_0$  there are infinite possibilities for  $y_0, z_0$ ). However, in a 1D problem space, i.e.  $E_X$ , the eigenvalue  $x_0$  uniquely determines the corresponding eigenket  $|x_0\rangle$  and therefore, constitutes a C.S.C.O.

Also note: sometimes instead of writing out  $|a=\dots, b=\dots, c=\dots, \dots\rangle \Rightarrow$  a collective index such that  $|k\rangle = |a, b, c, \dots\rangle$  is introduced.

# Change of basis. Unitary transformation (6)

A unitary transformation is the application of a unitary operator  $\hat{U}$  to kets, bras, operators (depending on what we want to transform).

State vectors  $|\psi\rangle$  and bras  $\langle\psi|$  transform as follows:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{new basis} \rightarrow \overbrace{|\psi'\rangle}^{\text{old basis}} = \hat{U} \overbrace{|\psi\rangle}^{\text{old basis}} ; \quad \langle\psi'| = \langle\psi| \hat{U}^\dagger \end{array}$$

What about operators  $\hat{A}$ ?

$$\hat{A} \rightarrow \hat{A}' ? \Rightarrow$$

$$\hat{A} |\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{A}' \underbrace{|\psi'\rangle}_{\hat{U}|\psi\rangle} = \underbrace{|\psi'\rangle}_{\hat{U}|\psi\rangle}$$

unitary transformation

$$\hat{A}' \hat{U} |\psi\rangle = \hat{U} |\psi\rangle \quad \Leftarrow$$

$$\text{Multiply by } \hat{U}^\dagger \Rightarrow \hat{U}^\dagger \hat{A}' \hat{U} |\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle$$

$$\hat{A} = \hat{U}^\dagger \hat{A}' \hat{U} \Rightarrow$$

since  $\hat{U}^\dagger \hat{U} = \mathbb{I}$

$$\hat{A} \hat{U}^\dagger = \hat{U}^\dagger \hat{A}' \hat{U} \hat{U}^\dagger$$

$$\hat{U} \hat{A} \hat{U}^\dagger = \hat{U} \hat{U}^\dagger \hat{A}' \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{\hat{A}' = \hat{U} \hat{A} \hat{U}^\dagger}$$

identity

What if we want to express old basis in terms of a new one?  $\Rightarrow$

$$|\psi\rangle = \hat{U}^\dagger |\psi'\rangle ; \langle \psi| = \langle \psi'| \hat{U}$$

$$\hat{A} = \hat{U}^\dagger \hat{A}' \hat{U}$$

Properties of unitary transformations

• If  $\hat{A}$  is Hermitian,  $\hat{A}'$  is also Hermitian

$$\hat{A}^\dagger = (\hat{U}^\dagger \hat{A}' \hat{U})^\dagger = \hat{U}^\dagger \hat{A}'^\dagger \hat{U} = \hat{A} =$$

$$= \hat{U}^\dagger \hat{A}' \hat{U} \Rightarrow \hat{A}'^\dagger = \hat{A}'$$

•  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{A}'$  have the same eigenvalues  $\Rightarrow$

$$\hat{A} |\psi_n\rangle = a_n |\psi_n\rangle \Rightarrow \hat{A}' |\psi'_n\rangle = a_n |\psi'_n\rangle$$

$$\hat{A}' |\psi'_n\rangle = (\hat{U} \hat{A} \hat{U}^\dagger) (\hat{U}^\dagger |\psi_n\rangle) = a_n (\hat{U}^\dagger |\psi_n\rangle) = a_n |\psi'_n\rangle$$

Important:

Every Hermitian matrix can be diagonalized by a unitary change of basis!

- Commutators that are equal to (complex)  $\mathbb{C}$  numbers remain unchanged  $\Rightarrow$

$$[\hat{A}', \hat{B}'] = [\hat{U} \hat{A} \hat{U}^\dagger, \hat{U} \hat{B} \hat{U}^\dagger] = \hat{U} \underbrace{[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]}_a \hat{U}^\dagger = \underbrace{a}_a$$

- If  $\hat{A} = \beta \hat{B} + \gamma \hat{C} \Rightarrow \hat{A}' = \beta \hat{B}' + \gamma \hat{C}'$   
 $\hat{A} = \alpha \hat{B} \hat{C} \hat{D} \Rightarrow \hat{A}' = \alpha \hat{B}' \hat{C}' \hat{D}'$

- $\langle \psi | \hat{A} | \chi \rangle$  does not change

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi' | \hat{A}' | \chi' \rangle &= \langle \psi | \hat{U}^\dagger \rangle (\hat{U} \hat{A} \hat{U}^\dagger) \langle \hat{U} | \chi \rangle = \\ &= \langle \psi | \underbrace{(\hat{U}^\dagger \hat{U})}_I \hat{A} \underbrace{(\hat{U} \hat{U}^\dagger)}_I | \chi \rangle = \langle \psi | \hat{A} | \chi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

If  $\hat{A} = \hat{I}$  identity matrix (operator)  $\Rightarrow \langle \psi' | \chi' \rangle = \langle \psi | \chi \rangle$

$\langle \psi' | \psi' \rangle = \langle \psi | \psi \rangle \Leftarrow$  invariant under unitary transformations  
the norm is conserved

- $(\hat{U} \hat{A} \hat{U}^\dagger)^n = \hat{U} \hat{A}^n \hat{U}^\dagger$

- $\hat{U} f(\hat{A}) \hat{U}^\dagger = f(\hat{U} \hat{A} \hat{U}^\dagger) = f(\hat{A}')$